

Q1: Upon completion of reading the update to the Comprehensive Plan, state anything you feel has been missed, or submit any constructive comments you may have. All comments will be reviewed once the Public Hearing has taken place. This survey window will remain open until 11:59 pm on Thursday, June 8th". Mailed responses will also be accepted if received prior to Thursday, June 8th.

### **RESPONSE 1**

One correction to the plan. It states that the parsonage is the only property in Germantown listed on the National Register of Historic Places. My property at 321 Main St, known as the Barringer-Overbaugh-Lasher House, was added to the Register on December 2, 2014. My daughter, Kate, worked closely with the New York State Office of Parks and Recreation and Historic Preservation, as well as the National Park Service, to obtain this listing. Thanks, Ethel Wood

### **RESPONSE 2**

Would love to see the landfill moved (as has been mentioned). How about a band shell with the mountains as a back drop? Also, unlike the surrounding communities, the town is sorely lacking in resources for seniors. Can transportation be provided for visits to doctors, hospitals, grocery store?

### **RESPONSE 3**

Dear Members of the Comprehensive Planning Committee: Thank you for the time and effort you have put into updating the Comprehensive Plan. Following the public hearing, I would like to reiterate my support of a few comments expressed: 1. The Comprehensive Plan should provide the Zoning Board and the Code Enforcement Officer with enough specificity and clear direction to do their job and enforce the goals and priorities outlined in the Plan. In other words, give it some teeth. 2. I support identifying 5-10 key action items and setting goals for the Town to achieve in the next five years, even if some are aspirational. 3. I support preserving the rural character of Germantown and supporting businesses owned by people who are vested in our community, not shareholders. I do not support the continued, unplanned creep of commercial development along the 9G corridor. Thank you, Tambra Dillon 870 Woods Road Germantown, NY 12526

### **RESPONSE 4**

Well done! Sorry for the delay. I've reviewed a couple items for accuracy/clarity based on what we've found on the Waterfront Advisory Committee: Pg 34, I'd specifically mention that the Hudson is a tidal estuary and susceptible to sea level rise Pg 66, what is the "proposed riverfront park"? Pg 66, "...the Town also owns \*three\* sites along the Hudson waterfront..." It is also worthwhile to mention that at two of those sites, Ice Dock Parcel and Lasher Park, the Town appears to have riparian rights. It is my understanding that riparian rights are rare, and extremely valuable. Pg 66, where it says "The recommendations of the Town appointed Local Waterfront Committee for the use of these lands will be considered as an addendum to this Plan." I would clarify the language, because our results are not intended to have regulatory impact. If they are an automatic addendum to the comp plan, I think that is more than is expected. Need a full

LWRP to have regulatory impact on level of comp plan. pg 67: Van Tassel parcel, Phillip Rockefeller Landing- neither are legally verified as being owned by the Town. Perhaps it could be stated in a more vague way. It would be good for an official recommendation of this plan be more formal deed searches for these suspicious parcels, and it will be a recommendation in our final report as well. To be honest, we're not even positive where "Phillip Rockefeller Landing" is, but I realize it's a carryover from the last comp plan. pg 67: clarify that Sportsman's Association is a private lease on Town-owned land pg 71: if you are officially recommending a conservation advisory council, it seems it should go here as well? -Jen Crawford